

potential energy of the static lattice. The quantity of phase transformation energy, and ϕ is the pressure is the thermal energy, E , is the zero pressure pressure density of the phase in question, U initial density of the sample, V_0 is the zero where P_0 is the Hugoniot pressure, V_0 is the

$$+\frac{y}{V} \frac{d}{d\phi} - U(V_0) + E, \quad (12)$$

$$P_0 \left(\frac{V_0}{V} - \frac{V}{V_0} \right) = \phi(V) - \phi(V_0) \quad (5)$$

published manuscript, 1972; the result is charge and initial porosity (G. F. Davies, unpublished mean lattice positions and substituting the generalized to include the effects of a phase by Thomassen [1970]. This equation has been generated to relate the static lattice has been given by Ahrens et al. [1969]. Another equation relating Hugoniot pressure to the isoterm of the static lattice has been given by Ahrens et al. [1969]. Isentropic has been given by Ahrens et al. [1969]. An equation relating the Hugoniot pressure to an isotherm. An isotherm, such as an isentropic or an isotherm, can be related to any other thermodynamic equations. In this way the Hugoniot conservation with the Rankine-Hugoniot conservation equation by combining the Mie-Griemeisen equation derived by Eulerian strain parameter can be

identities [Bassett et al., 1968].

Equations 9 and 10 are thermodynamic identities. Equations 9 and 10 are the subscripts T denotes isothermal derivatives and the subscript C denotes heat at constant volume

$$\delta_T = -[/\alpha K^T](\partial K^T / \partial T)^T \quad (11)$$

$$(10)$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln V} \right)_T = 1 + \delta_T - K^T, \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln C} \right)_T$$

$$\gamma = V \alpha K^T / C^T \quad (6)$$

$$h = g \left[3 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \ln V} \right)_{T,0} + g - 1 \right] \quad (8)$$

$$g = -6\gamma \quad (7)$$

(G. F. Davies, unpublished manuscript, 1972). Quantities by the following series of equations to be determined. They are related to measured constants g and h in (5) are parameters to be determined. They are related to measured quantities in terms of lattice frequency of the lattice.

(5) can be regarded as a characteristic eigenfunction of the lattice. This equation thus describes both compression and thermal effects. It is written in terms of a particular Lagrangian strain and involves six adjustable parameters. Subsequently it has been shown (G. F. Davies, unpublished manuscript, 1972) that analogous equations can be derived in terms of other strains and that the resulting form of the Mie-Griemeisen equation can be represented in the form of a conventional finite strain equation.

In accord with these results, the 300°K

is consistent with the expansion of the terms of atomic displacements. This result, in so that a second-order expansion in terms of the strain ϵ is linear in atomic displacements,

$$\epsilon = (V/V_0)^{1/3} - 1 \quad (9)$$

Here ϵ is another strain parameter defined as

$$= \frac{6(1 + g\epsilon + \frac{g}{3}\epsilon^2)}{(1 + \epsilon(g + h\epsilon))} \quad (5)$$

$$\gamma = -\frac{d \ln V}{d \ln \omega}$$

result in the usual definition of γ :

their mean lattice positions and substituting the terms of displacements of the atoms from

order the squared eigenfrequencies of the lattice manuscirpt, 1972) by expanding to second

here is derived (G. F. Davies, unpublished

The particular expression for γ to be used

Birch-Murnaghan equation [e.g., Birch, 1952].

the last term in (3) reduces it to the familiar is the Eulerian strain parameter. Neglecting

$$\epsilon = \frac{2}{3}[1 - (V/V_0)^{-2/3}] \quad (4)$$

pressure derivative, and

where K_0 is the bulk modulus at zero pressure

$$+ \frac{2}{3}[K_0 K_0''' + K_0''(K_0 - 7) + \frac{1}{12}g^2] \epsilon^2 \quad (3)$$

$$P(V) = -3K_0(1 - 2\epsilon^{5/2})\{\epsilon - \frac{2}{3}(K_0 - 4)\epsilon^2 \quad (2)$$

the fourth-order Eulerian finite strain equation

isotherm will be represented in this study by

In accord with these results, the 300°K

of a conventional finite strain equation.

Grimeisen equation can be written in the Mie-

equations and that the resulting form of the Mie-

equations can be derived in terms of other

unpublished manuscript, 1972) that analogous

strains and involves six adjustable parameters.

written in terms of a particular Lagrangian

strain and involves six adjustable parameters.

of finite strain. This equation thus describes

an expansion of this equation into the domain

Lauding, 1961], and Thomassen [1970] derived

to the Mie-Griemeisen equation [Lefebvre and

approximation lead, under certain assumptions,

lattice dynamics in the fourth-order

theory. Lattice dynamics in the fourth-order

tity ϕ can be related to the expansion of the isotherm (equation 3) through the constants g and h (G. F. Davies, unpublished manuscript, 1972).

To summarize, expressions for the 300°K isotherm and for the Hugoniots are given by (3) and (12) in terms of the six parameters V_0 , K_0 , K'_0 , K''_0 , g , and h . The only essentially new thing in this analysis is the equation for γ (equation 5). It should be noted that this equation gives a volume dependence of γ qualitatively similar to, for instance, (1). In the present application the volume dependence of γ is constrained by the Hugoniot data, and so the quantitative differences between (1) and (5), for instance, will be absorbed by their parameters. Thus with (5) the value of δ_r will be determined in this way (see equations 10 and 11; all other quantities in (7)–(11) are constrained by other aspects of the data). Because δ_r is otherwise unknown, the only doubt resulting from this procedure concerns the specific value of δ_r .

The specific heat at constant volume has been approximated in these calculations by the Debye model. A discussion of the inadequacy of the Debye model for a number of minerals has been given by Kieffer and Kamb [1972]. Their results indicate that, for the purposes of this discussion, the Debye model is not too inadequate for stishovite. It is less appropriate for coesite, but, in view of the other uncertainties of the coesite equation of state (see below), it is an acceptable approximation.

Hugoniot temperatures are calculated according to a method given by Ahrens *et al.* [1969]. For this calculation the volume dependence of the Debye temperature θ_D is required. The Debye temperature is proportional to the Debye cutoff frequency. Thus, for consistency with the treatment of lattice dynamics discussed earlier, the square of θ_D may be expanded to second order in e . Thus

$$\theta_D(V) = \theta_D(V_0)(1 + ge + \frac{1}{2}he^2)^{1/2} \quad (13)$$

EQUATIONS OF STATE

General. The procedure used here to determine the equation of state was to calculate, according to the last section, all relevant quantities, such as Hugoniots, isotherms, bulk modulus, and so forth, and to adjust the equa-

tion-of-state parameters to obtain a weighted least-squares fit to the data. The weighting basically was done according to the estimated standard error of the data, but it was also adjusted in some cases, as will be seen, to preferentially fit some of the data.

Some general features of the silica Hugoniot data and a representative set of calculated Hugoniots and isotherms are illustrated in Figure 1. Most of the Hugoniot data radiate from one of two points: the coesite or stishovite zero pressure densities. The apparent zero pressure density of the data is the basis of the identification by Trunin *et al.* [1971b] of the Hugoniots of the two most porous silica samples as being in the coesite phase. This identification will be discussed subsequently; in the meantime the phase will be referred to as 'coesite.'

The Hugoniots of successively more porous silica, which start at zero porosity, become successively steeper up to the initial density ρ'_0 of 1.77 g/cm³, whose Hugoniot is nearly vertical on this plot. The 1.55-g/cm³ initial density Hugoniot data are at densities lower than but fairly close to the zero pressure 300°K stishovite density, whereas the 1.35- and 1.15-g/cm³ initial density Hugoniots are less steep and centered about the coesite density. The $\rho'_0 = 1.55$ g/cm³ Hugoniot may represent a mixture of 'coesite' and stishovite [Trunin *et al.*, 1971b]. This point will be discussed further below.

The calculated Hugoniots shown in Figure 1 (stishovite case 2 and 'coesite' case 1, discussed below) reproduce these features fairly well. However, the coesite-stishovite transition is not predicted by these calculations. Thus stishovite Hugoniots corresponding to all seven initial porosities are shown. The three most porous Hugoniots are notable for having negative slopes; there is a critical initial density for which the Hugoniot is vertical. The two most porous Hugoniots are shown as dashed lines, since they clearly fail to represent the corresponding data. The $\rho'_0 = 1.55$ Hugoniot data approach but do not agree very well with the corresponding calculated stishovite curve shown in Figure 1. Only the two most porous 'coesite' Hugoniots are shown. The other Hugoniots will lie between these Hugoniots and the 300°K isotherm (shown as a short-dashed line) and clearly will not coincide with the corresponding data.